

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012
OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number:
1-33891



ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or organization)

26-0097459
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

12000 Aerospace Dr. Suite 300
Houston, Texas
(Address of principal executive offices)

77034
(Zip Code)

713-852-6500
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every interactive data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files)

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "Large Accelerated Filer," "Accelerated Filer," and "Smaller Reporting Company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of November 1, 2012, 27,154,930 shares of the Registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value were outstanding.

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.
Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2012
INDEX

PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
	Item 1	Financial Statements (Unaudited)
		Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011
		Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011
		Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
	Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
	Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
	Item 4	Controls and Procedures
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
	Item 1	Legal Proceedings
	Item 1A	Risk Factors
	Item 6	Exhibits
		SIGNATURES

Part I – Financial Information

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)
(In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Information)

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 50,213	\$ 38,979
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, net of allowance of \$0	31,428	20,954
Retainage	7,701	5,977
Other	1,078	1,111
Income taxes receivable	2,651	13,998
Note receivable	46	51
Inventory	3,558	3,361
Deferred tax asset	1,190	1,182
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	12,207	15,112
Prepaid expenses and other	1,632	2,470
Total current assets	111,704	103,195
Property and equipment, net	152,220	146,107
Accounts receivable, long-term	1,410	1,410
Goodwill	32,168	32,168
Other assets	230	207
Total assets	\$ 297,732	\$ 283,087
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current debt	\$ 10,511	\$ —
Accounts payable:		
Trade	17,283	11,977
Retainage	2,154	374
Accrued liabilities	10,449	9,339
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	16,711	5,665
Total current liabilities	57,108	27,355
Other long-term liabilities	489	606
Deferred income taxes	17,143	21,287
Deferred revenue	160	203
Total liabilities	74,900	49,451
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock -- \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 authorized, none issued	—	—
Common stock -- \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 authorized, 27,472,662 and 27,436,922 issued; 27,154,930 and 27,119,191 outstanding at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	275	274
Treasury stock, 317,731 shares, at cost	(3,003)	(3,003)
Additional paid-in capital	160,107	157,560
Retained earnings	65,453	78,805
Total stockholders' equity	222,832	233,636
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 297,732	\$ 283,087

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
Three and nine months September 30,
(Unaudited)
(In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Information)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Contract revenues	\$ 75,386	\$ 54,583	\$ 193,408	\$ 204,539
Costs of contract revenues	70,493	57,014	191,560	194,699
Gross profit (loss)	4,893	(2,431)	1,848	9,840
Selling, general and administrative expenses	7,185	6,631	21,754	21,643
Operating loss	(2,292)	(9,062)	(19,906)	(11,803)
Other income (expense)				
Other income	44	44	228	44
Interest income	4	5	23	22
Interest expense	(235)	(95)	(638)	(263)
Other expense, net	(187)	(46)	(387)	(197)
Loss before income taxes	(2,479)	(9,108)	(20,293)	(12,000)
Income tax benefit	(885)	(2,890)	(6,941)	(4,104)
Net loss	\$ (1,594)	\$ (6,218)	\$ (13,352)	\$ (7,896)
Basic loss per share	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.23)	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.29)
Diluted loss per share	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.23)	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.29)
Shares used to compute loss per share				
Basic	27,138,310	26,909,559	27,126,440	26,946,482
Diluted	27,138,310	26,909,559	27,126,440	26,946,482

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity
Nine months ended September 30, 2012
(Unaudited)
(In Thousands, Except Share Information)

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			
Balance, December 31, 2011	27,436,922	\$ 274	(317,731)	(3,003)	\$ 157,560	\$ 78,805	\$ 233,636
Stock-based compensation	—	—	—	—	2,372	—	2,372
Exercise of stock options	35,739	1			175		176
Issue restricted stock	—	—			—		—
Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options	—	—		—			—
Forfeiture of restricted stock	—	—			—		—
Purchase of treasury stock			—	—	—		—
Net loss						(13,352)	(13,352)
Balance, September 30, 2012	27,472,661	\$ 275	(317,731)	(3,003)	\$ 160,107	\$ 65,453	\$ 222,832

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Nine months ended September 30,
(Unaudited)
(In Thousands)

	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (13,352)	\$ (7,896)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	16,038	16,738
Deferred financing cost amortization	158	98
Bad debt expense (recoveries)	1	165
Deferred income taxes	(4,152)	4,446
Stock-based compensation	2,372	1,818
Gain on sale of property and equipment	(156)	(184)
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(12,165)	23,356
Income tax receivable	11,347	(5,142)
Inventory	(197)	(409)
Note receivable	5	39
Prepaid expenses and other	668	957
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	2,906	11,894
Accounts payable	7,086	(15,022)
Accrued liabilities	994	(3,670)
Income tax payable	—	(262)
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	11,046	(164)
Deferred revenue	(43)	(43)
Net cash provided by operating activities	22,556	26,719

Cash flows from investing activities:

Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	349	807
Purchase of property and equipment	(22,344)	(12,694)
Net cash used in investing activities	(21,995)	(11,887)

Cash flows from financing activities:

Borrowings from Credit Facility	13,000	—
Payments made on borrowings from Credit Facility	(2,489)	—
Exercise of stock options	176	185
Increase in loan costs	(14)	—
Purchase of shares into treasury	—	(3,003)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	10,673	(2,818)

Net change in cash and cash equivalents	11,234	12,014
---	--------	--------

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	38,979	23,174
--	--------	--------

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 50,213	\$ 35,188
--	-----------	-----------

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest	\$ 675	\$ 160
Taxes (net of refunds)	\$ (14,138)	\$ (3,185)

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
Nine months ended September 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

(Tabular Amounts in thousands, Except for Share and per Share Amounts)

1. Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Description of Business

Orion Marine Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (hereafter collectively referred to as “Orion” or the “Company”) provide a broad range of marine construction services on, over and under the water along the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the West Coast, Canada and in the Caribbean Basin. Our heavy civil marine projects include marine transportation facilities; bridges and causeways; marine pipelines; mechanical and hydraulic dredging and specialty projects. We are headquartered in Houston, Texas.

Although we describe our business in this report in terms of the services we provide, our base of customers and the geographic areas in which we operate, we have concluded that our operations comprise one reportable segment pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 280 – *Segment Reporting*. In making this determination, we considered that each project has similar characteristics, includes similar services, has similar types of customers and is subject to the same regulatory environment. We organize, evaluate and manage our financial information around each project when making operating decisions and assessing our overall performance.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and financial information included herein have been prepared pursuant to the interim period reporting requirements of Form 10-Q. Consequently, certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted. Readers of this report should also read our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 (“2011 Form 10-K”) as well as Item 7 – *Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* also included in our 2011 Form 10-K.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the Company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. Such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Interim results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Principles

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“U.S. GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Management’s estimates, judgments and assumptions are continually evaluated based on available information and experience; however, actual amounts could differ from those estimates. The Company’s significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2011 Form 10-K.

On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates the significant accounting policies used to prepare its condensed consolidated financial statements, including, but not limited to, those related to:

- Revenue recognition from construction contracts;
- Allowance for doubtful accounts;
- Testing of goodwill and other long-lived assets for possible impairment;
- Income taxes;
- Self-insurance; and
- Stock based compensation.

Revenue Recognition

The Company records revenue on construction contracts for financial statement purposes using the percentage-of-completion method, measured by the percentage of contract costs incurred to date to total estimated costs for each contract. This method is used because management considers contract costs incurred to be the best available measure of progress on these contracts. The Company follows the guidance of ASC 605-35 – *Revenue Recognition, Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts*, for its accounting policy relating to the use of the percentage-of-completion method, estimated costs and claim recognition for construction contracts. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability, including those arising from final contract settlements, may result in revisions to costs and revenues and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined. Revenue is recorded net of any sales taxes collected and paid on behalf of the customer, if applicable.

The current asset “costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts” represents revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed, which management believes will be billed and collected within one year of the completion of the contract. The liability “billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts” represents billings in excess of revenues recognized.

The Company’s projects are typically short in duration, and usually span a period of three to nine months. Historically, we have not combined or segmented contracts.

Classification of Current Assets and Liabilities

The Company includes in current assets and liabilities amounts realizable and payable in the normal course of contract completion.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. At times, cash held by financial institutions may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not historically sustained losses on our cash balances in excess of federally insured limits. Cash equivalents at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted primarily of money market mutual funds and overnight bank deposits.

Risk Concentrations

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk principally consist of cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable.

The Company depends on its ability to continue to obtain federal, state and local governmental contracts, and indirectly, on the amount of funding available to these agencies for new and current governmental projects. Therefore, a substantial portion of the Company’s operations may be dependent upon the level and timing of government funding. Statutory mechanics liens provide the Company high priority in the event of lien foreclosures following financial difficulties of private owners, thus minimizing credit risk with private customers.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are stated at the historical carrying value, less write-offs and allowances for doubtful accounts. The Company writes off uncollectible accounts receivable against the allowance for doubtful accounts if it is determined that the amounts will not be collected or if a settlement is reached for an amount that is less than the carrying value. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had not recorded an allowance for doubtful accounts.

Balances billed to customers but not paid pursuant to retainage provisions in construction contracts generally become payable upon contract completion and acceptance by the owner. Retention at September 30, 2012 totaled \$7.7 million, of which \$5.3 million is expected to be collected beyond 2012. Retention at December 31, 2011 totaled \$6.0 million.

Fair Value Measurements

We evaluate and present certain amounts included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at “fair value” in accordance with GAAP, which requires us to base our estimates on assumptions market participants, in an orderly transaction, would use to price an asset or liability, and to establish a hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to determine fair value. In measuring fair value, we use the following inputs in the order of priority indicated:

Level I – Quoted prices in active markets for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level II – Observable inputs other than Level I prices, such as (i) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; (ii) quoted prices in markets that have insufficient volume or infrequent transactions; and (iii) inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level III – Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the fair value measurement.

We generally apply fair value valuation techniques on a non-recurring basis associated with (1) valuing assets and liabilities acquired in connection with business combinations and other transactions; (2) valuing potential impairment loss related to long-lived assets; and (3) valuing potential impairment loss related to goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets.

Income Taxes

The Company determines its consolidated income tax provision using the asset and liability method prescribed by US GAAP, which requires the recognition of income tax expense for the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current period and for deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity’s financial statements or tax returns. The Company accounts for any uncertain tax positions in accordance with the provisions of ASC 740-10, *Income Taxes*, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement disclosure of tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, on our consolidated tax return. The Company had not recorded a liability for uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2011 or September 30, 2012.

Insurance Coverage

The Company maintains insurance coverage for its business and operations. Insurance related to property, equipment, automobile, general liability, and a portion of workers' compensation is provided through traditional policies, subject to a deductible or deductibles. A portion of the Company's workers'

compensation exposure is covered through a mutual association, which is subject to supplemental calls.

The Company maintains two levels of excess loss insurance coverage, totaling \$100 million in excess of primary coverage. The Company's excess loss coverage responds to most of its liability policies when a primary limit of \$1 million has been exhausted; provided that the primary limit for Maritime Employer's Liability is \$10 million and the Watercraft Pollution Policy primary limit is \$5 million.

Separately, the Company's employee health care is provided through a trust, administered by a third party. The Company funds the trust based on current claims. The administrator has purchased appropriate stop-loss coverage. Losses on these policies up to the deductible amounts are accrued based upon known claims incurred and an estimate of claims incurred but not reported. The accruals are derived from known facts, historical trends and industry averages to determine the best estimate of the ultimate expected loss. Actual claims may vary from our estimate. We include any adjustments to such reserves in our consolidated results of operations in the period in which they become known.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognizes compensation expense for equity awards over the vesting period based on the fair value of these awards at the date of grant. The computed fair value of these awards is recognized as a non-cash cost over the period the employee provides services, which is typically the vesting period of the award. The fair value of options granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of restricted stock grants is equivalent to the fair value of the stock issued on the date of grant.

Compensation expense is recognized only for share-based payments expected to vest. The Company estimates forfeitures at the date of grant based on historical experience and future expectations.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill

The Company has acquired businesses and assets in purchase transactions that resulted in the recognition of goodwill. Goodwill represents the costs in excess of fair values assigned to the underlying net assets in the acquisition. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, acquired goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to impairment testing at least annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the asset more likely than not may be impaired. New accounting guidance was recently issued that will allow the Company to qualitatively assess the likelihood that the carrying value of its reporting units is less than fair value in lieu of, or prior to, performance of step one of the impairment test process.

Intangible assets

Intangible assets that have finite lives continue to be subject to amortization. In addition, the Company must evaluate the remaining useful life in each reporting period to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision of the remaining period of amortization. If the estimate of an intangible asset's remaining life is changed, the remaining carrying value of such asset is amortized prospectively over that revised remaining useful life.

3. Concentration of Risk and Enterprise Wide Disclosures

Accounts receivable include amounts billed to governmental agencies and private customers and do not bear interest. Balances billed to customers but not paid pursuant to retainage provisions generally become payable upon contract completion and acceptance by the owner. The table below presents the concentrations of receivables (trade and retainage) at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively:

	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Federal Government	\$ 6,181	16%	\$ 5,958	22%
State Governments	1,400	4%	379	1%
Local Governments	4,455	11%	6,207	24%
Private Companies	27,093	69%	14,387	53%
Total receivables	\$ 39,129	100%	\$ 26,931	100%

At September 30, 2012, the US Army Corps of Engineers and two private sector customers accounted for 12.0%, 21.7% and 11.0% of total receivables, respectively. At December 31, 2011, receivables from the US Army Corps of Engineers and a private sector customer accounted for 9.1% and 12.5% of total receivables, respectively.

Additionally, the table below represents concentrations of revenue by type of customer for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

	Three months ended September 30,				Nine months ended September 30,			
	2012	%	2011	%	2012	%	2011	%
Federal.....	\$ 17,408	23%	\$ 24,175	44%	\$ 42,336	22%	\$ 91,377	45%
State.....	11,623	15%	9,559	18%	28,637	15%	41,631	20%
Local.....	7,463	10%	12,644	23%	32,057	17%	34,820	17%
Private.....	38,892	52%	8,205	15%	90,378	47%	36,711	18%

\$	75,386	100%	\$	54,583	100%	\$	193,408	100%	\$	204,539	100%
----	--------	------	----	--------	------	----	---------	------	----	---------	------

In the three months ended September 30, 2012, the US Army Corps of Engineers and a private sector customer generated 19.5% and 11.5% of revenues, respectively, and for the nine month period, these same customers generated 16.8%, and 12.6% of total revenues, respectively. In the three months ended September 30, 2011, the US Army Corps of Engineers, the US Navy, and two state departments of transportation generated 26.1%, 11.9% 13.4% and 12.9% of total revenues, respectively. The US Army Corps of Engineers and a state department of transportation generated 24.6%, and 11.5% of contract revenues in the nine month period ended September 30, 2011.

The Company does not believe that the loss of any one of these customers, other than the US Army Corps of Engineers, would have a material adverse effect on the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates.

The Company's long-lived assets are substantially located in the United States.

4. Contracts in Progress

Contracts in progress are as follows at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts	\$ 307,353	\$ 232,267
Estimated earnings	52,587	44,261
	359,940	276,528
Less: Billings to date	(364,444)	(267,081)
	\$ (4,504)	\$ 9,447
Included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet under the following captions:		
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	12,207	\$ 15,112
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	(16,711)	(5,665)
	\$ (4,504)	\$ 9,447

Contract costs include all direct costs, such as materials and labor, and those indirect costs related to contract performance such as payroll taxes and insurance. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such estimated losses are determined. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. An amount equal to contract costs attributable to claims is included in revenues when realization is probable and the amount can be reliably estimated.

5. Property and Equipment

The following is a summary of property and equipment at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Automobiles and trucks	\$ 1,591	\$ 1,541
Building and improvements	21,798	13,520
Construction equipment	135,703	132,317
Dredges and dredging equipment	98,379	96,278
Office equipment	4,068	3,882
	261,539	247,538
Less: accumulated depreciation	(134,358)	(119,440)
Net book value of depreciable assets	127,181	128,098
Construction in progress	10,246	8,655
Land	14,793	9,354
	\$ 152,220	\$ 146,107

For the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, depreciation expense was \$5.3 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, and for the comparable nine month period was \$16.0 million and \$16.7 million, respectively. Substantially all depreciation expense is included in the cost of contract revenue in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The assets of the Company are pledged as collateral under the Company's Credit Agreement (as defined in Note 9).

In January 2012, the Company purchased approximately 18 acres of land, including buildings and improvements, from Lazarra Leasing, LLC ("Seller"). The property is located in Tampa, Florida, and was formerly partially rented by the Company.

The purchase price was \$13.7 million and included the following items:

- The Company, at its expense, will construct dock improvements at Seller's facility, in an amount not to exceed \$279,700. Construction commenced within the mandated 90 days of closing.
- The Company shall rent a portion of the land retained by the Seller for an initial term of 20 years, with payment of \$250,000 for the entire term paid in advance.
- Seller leased back three buildings for up to 24 months following the sale, with the first 12 months rent abated.

The Company drew from its Credit Facility (as defined in Note 9) to purchase the property.

6. Inventory

Inventory at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, of \$3.6 million and \$3.4 million respectively, consists of spare parts and small equipment held for use in the ordinary course of business.

7. Fair Value

The fair value of financial instruments is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties. Due to their short term nature, we believe that the carrying value of our accounts receivables, other current assets, accounts payables and other current liabilities approximate their fair values. We have a note receivable in the amount of \$46,000, for which we believe that the carrying value approximates its fair value, and which bears interest at 10%.

The fair value of the Company's debt at September 30, 2012 approximated its carrying value of \$10.5 million, as interest is based on current market interest rates for debt with similar risk and maturity. The Company had no debt at December 31, 2011. If the Company's debt was measured at fair value, it would have been classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

8. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

The table below summarizes changes in goodwill recorded by the Company during the periods ended September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Beginning balance, January 1	\$ 32,168	\$ 32,168
Additions	—	—
Ending balance	\$ 32,168	\$ 32,168

No indicators of goodwill impairment were identified during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

9. Long-term Debt and Line of Credit

In June 2010, the Company entered into a credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as sole lead arranger and bookrunner, and other lenders, from time to time. The Credit Agreement was amended in June 2012 in response to the Company's near term outlook, at which time Wells Fargo became the sole lender.

The amended Credit Agreement provides for borrowings under a revolving line of credit and swingline loans, an accordion, and a term loan (together, the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility is guaranteed by the subsidiaries of the Company, secured by the assets of the Company, including stock held in its subsidiaries, and may be used to finance working capital, repay indebtedness, fund acquisitions, and for other general corporate purposes. Interest is computed based on the designation of the loans, and bear interest at either a prime-based interest rate or a LIBOR-based interest rate. Principal balances drawn under the Credit Facility may be prepaid at any time, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty. Amounts repaid under the revolving line of credit may be re-borrowed. All of the components of the Credit Facility mature on June 30, 2013.

Reconciliation of amounts drawn in January 2012

As part of the amended Credit Agreement entered into in June 2012, the \$13 million drawn in January 2012 to purchase the property in Tampa, Florida was converted into a term loan in the amount of \$10.9 million, with the balance of \$2.1 million remaining under the revolving line of credit. Additionally, the \$13.9 million in restricted cash on the March 31, 2012, balance sheet was released.

Provisions of the revolving line of credit and accordion

As part of the amendment, the Company elected to reduce the maximum borrowing availability under the revolving line of credit and swingline loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) from \$75 million to \$35 million, with a \$20 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit. An additional \$25 million is available subject to the Lender's discretion.

Revolving loans may be designated as prime rate based loans ("ABR Loans") or Eurodollar Loans, at the Company's request, and may be made in an integral multiple of \$500,000, in the case of an ABR Loan, or \$1 million in the case of a Eurodollar Loan. Swingline loans may only be designated as ABR Loans, and may be made in amounts equal to integral multiples of \$100,000. The Company may convert, change or modify such designations from time to time.

Borrowings are subject to a borrowing base, which is calculated as the sum of 80% of eligible accounts receivable, plus 90% of adjusted cash balances, as

defined in the Credit Agreement.

At September 30, 2012, the \$2.1 million drawn under the revolver in June had been repaid, and no amount was outstanding.

Provisions of the term loan

At September 30, 2012, the term loan component of the Credit Facility totaled \$10.5 million and is secured by specific dredge assets of the Company. Principal payments on the term loan, in the amount of \$389,000, are due quarterly and commenced on September 30, 2012.

Financial covenants

Restrictive financial covenants under the Credit Facility were modified in June 2012 to:

- Eliminate the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio;
- Eliminate the Leverage Ratio;
- Change the Net Worth covenant to a Tangible Net Worth covenant, with the minimum Tangible Net Worth requirement of a base amount of \$180 million as of the effective date of the amendment, plus 50% of consolidated quarterly net income (if positive), plus 75% of all issuances of equity interests by Borrower during that quarter;
- Add a Profitability Covenant such that the Company shall not sustain a consolidated net loss for two consecutive fiscal quarters, commencing with the quarter ending September 30, 2012.

In addition, the Credit Facility contains events of default that are usual and customary for similar transactions, including non-payment of principal, interest or fees; inaccuracy of representations and warranties; violation of covenants; bankruptcy and insolvency events; and events constituting a change of control.

The Company is subject to a commitment fee, at a current rate of 0.25% of the unused portion of the maximum available to borrow under the Credit Facility. The commitment fee is payable quarterly in arrears.

Interest at September 30, 2012, was based on a LIBOR-option interest rate of 2.75%. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the weighted average interest rate was 2.75% and 2.28%, respectively. At September 30, 2012, the Company had letters of credit outstanding of approximately \$952,000.

At September 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with its financial covenants.

The Company expects to meet its future internal liquidity and working capital needs, and maintain its equipment fleet through capital expenditure purchases and major repairs, from funds generated by its operating activities for at least the next 12 months. We believe our cash position is adequate for our general business requirements.

10. Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate is based on expected income, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available to it. For interim financial reporting, the Company estimates its annual tax rate based on projected taxable income (or loss) for the full year and records a quarterly tax provision in accordance with the anticipated annual rate. The effective rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was 35.7% and 31.7%, and for the nine months was 34.2% and 34.2% in each period, respectively.

In the current year, the rate differed from the Company's statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the losses incurred in the current year, offset by state income taxes, and the non-deductibility of certain permanent tax items, such as incentive stock compensation expense.

	Current	Deferred	Total
Three months ended September 30, 2012			
U.S. Federal	\$ 463	\$ (1,337)	\$ (874)
State and local	(61)	50	(11)
	<u>\$ 402</u>	<u>\$ (1,287)</u>	<u>\$ (885)</u>
Three months ended September 30, 2011:			
U.S. Federal	\$ (4,583)	\$ 1,762	\$ (2,821)
State and local	(160)	91	(69)
	<u>\$ (4,743)</u>	<u>\$ 1,853</u>	<u>\$ (2,890)</u>
	Current	Deferred	Total
Nine months ended September 30, 2012			
U.S. Federal	\$ (2,721)	\$ (3,788)	\$ (6,509)
State and local	(68)	(364)	(432)
	<u>\$ (2,789)</u>	<u>\$ (4,152)</u>	<u>\$ (6,941)</u>
Nine months ended September 30, 2011			
U.S. Federal	\$ (8,294)	\$ 4,299	\$ (3,995)
State and local	(256)	147	(109)
	<u>\$ (8,550)</u>	<u>\$ 4,446</u>	<u>\$ (4,104)</u>

The Company believes it will be able to utilize its net operating loss carryforwards in the future and therefore has not recorded a valuation allowance.

The Company files US Federal and various state income tax returns. In July 2012, consent was received from the IRS permitting the Company to change its tax accounting method for certain service revenue effective for the taxable year ended December 31, 2011, which resulted in the deferral of approximately \$10.9 million of taxable income. At the time the consent was given, the Company was under audit by the IRS for its 2009 and 2010 tax years, and the Company expects that its 2011 and 2012 tax returns will also be reviewed due to the losses generated in 2011 and 2012. The Company does not expect any material changes to its returns will result from these audits and reviews.

The Company does not believe that its tax positions will significantly change due to any settlement and/or expiration of statutes of limitations prior to September 30, 2013.

11. Earnings Per Share

Basic (loss) earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and the effect of all dilutive common stock equivalents during each period. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 no potential common stock equivalents were included as the effect of such would be anti-dilutive.

The following table reconciles the denominators used in the computations of both basic and diluted (loss) earnings per share:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Basic:				
Weighted average shares outstanding	27,138,310	26,909,559	27,126,440	26,946,482
Diluted:				
Total basic weighted average shares outstanding	27,138,310	26,909,559	27,126,440	26,946,482
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Common stock options	—	—	—	—
Total weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution	27,138,310	26,909,559	27,126,440	26,946,482
Anti-dilutive stock options	2,334,053	2,132,469	2,334,053	2,132,469
Share of Common Stock issued from the Exercise of Stock Options	33,513	1,433	35,739	32,124

12. Stock-Based Compensation

The Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors is responsible for the administration of the Company's stock incentive plans, which include the balance of shares remaining under the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "2007 LTIP") and the 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "2011 LTIP") which was approved by the shareholders in May 2011 and authorized the maximum aggregate number of shares to be issued of 3,000,000. In general, the Company's plans provide for grants of restricted stock and stock options to be issued with a per-share price equal to the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant. Option terms are specified at each grant date, but are generally are 10 years from the date of issuance. Options generally vest over a three to five year period.

For the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, compensation expense related to stock based awards outstanding for the periods was \$846,000 and \$697,000, respectively, and was \$2.4 million and \$1.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In the first quarter of 2012, the Company granted options to purchase 262,051 shares of common stock. The weighted average fair value of the options granted in the first quarter was \$4.08 using the Black Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

Expected life of options	6.2 years
Expected volatility	68.5%
Risk-free interest rate	1.42%
Dividend yield	—%

The Company applies a 5.5% forfeiture rate to its option grants. No shares of stock or options were granted in the quarter ended September 30, 2012.

In the three months ended September 30, 2012, 33,513 shares were exercised, generating proceeds of approximately \$163,000. In the nine month period ended September 30, 2012, the Company received proceeds of approximately \$176,000 upon the exercise of 35,739 options. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, 1,433, and 32,124 options were exercised, generating proceeds of \$3,000 and \$185,000, respectively.

13. Commitments and Contingencies

From time to time the Company is a party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. These actions typically seek, among other things, compensation for alleged personal injury, breach of contract, property damage, punitive damages, civil penalties or other losses, or injunctive or declaratory relief. With respect to such lawsuits, the Company accrues reserves when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company does not believe any of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material adverse effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

14. Subsequent Event

On October 31, 2012, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of West Construction, Inc., (the "Seller") located in Anchorage, Alaska, for \$9.0 million in cash, with an additional amount up to \$1.0 million based on 20% of Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization ("EBITDA") generated during the first twelve months immediately following the closing.

The assets acquired included construction equipment, inventory, and work in progress. In addition, the Company acquired the ownership interest in two foreign entities and the rights to the trade name. Additionally, the Company hired substantially all of the Seller's personnel, entered into a two year consulting and sales agreement, and entered into a non-compete agreement with the Seller.

The Company entered into a lease agreement effective upon the date of closing for office space in Anchorage, Alaska for an initial three year term.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Unless the context otherwise indicates, all references in this quarterly report to "Orion," "the company," "we," "our," or "us" are to Orion Marine Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries taken as a whole.

Certain information in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including but not limited to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A"), may constitute forward-looking statements as such term is defined within the meaning of the "safe harbor" provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

All statements other than statements of historical facts, including those that express a belief, expectation, or intention are forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements may include projections and estimates concerning the timing and success of specific projects and our future production, revenues, income and capital spending. Our forward-looking statements are generally accompanied by words such as "estimate," "project," "predict," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "potential," "plan," "goal" or other words that convey the uncertainty of future events or outcomes.

We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and assumptions about future events. While our management considers these expectations and assumptions to be reasonable, they are inherently subject to significant business, economic, competitive, regulatory and other risks, contingencies and uncertainties, most of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control, including unforeseen productivity delays, levels of government funding or other governmental budgetary constraints, and contract cancellation at the discretion of the customer. These and other important factors, including those described under "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 ("2011 Form 10-K") may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q speak only as of the date of this report; we disclaim any obligation to update these statements unless required by securities law, and we caution you not to rely on them unduly.

MD&A provides a narrative analysis explaining the reasons for material changes in the Company's (i) financial condition since the most recent fiscal year-end, and (ii) results of operations during the current fiscal year-to-date period and current fiscal quarter as compared to the corresponding periods of the preceding fiscal year. In order to better understand such changes, this MD&A should be read in conjunction with the Company's fiscal 2011 audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in its 2011 Form 10-K, Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our 2011 Form 10-K and with our unaudited financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this quarterly report.

Overview

We are a leading marine specialty contractor serving the heavy civil marine infrastructure market. We provide a broad range of marine construction and specialty services on, over and under the water along the Gulf Coast, the Atlantic Seaboard, the West Coast, Canada, and the Caribbean Basin. Our customers include federal, state and municipal governments, the combination of which accounted for approximately 48% of our revenue in the three months ended September 30, 2012, as well as private commercial and industrial enterprises. We are headquartered in Houston, Texas.

Our contracts are obtained primarily through competitive bidding in response to "requests for proposals" by federal, state and local agencies and through negotiation with private parties. Our bidding activity and strategies are affected by such factors as backlog, current utilization of equipment and other resources, ability to obtain necessary surety bonds and competitive considerations. The timing and location of awarded contracts may result in unpredictable fluctuations in the results of our operations.

Most of our revenue is derived from fixed-price contracts. There are a number of factors that can create variability in contract performance and therefore impact the results of our operations. The most significant of these include the following:

- completeness and accuracy of the original bid;

[Table of Contents](#)

- increases in commodity prices such as concrete, steel and fuel;
- customer delays and work stoppages due to weather and environmental restrictions;
- availability and skill level of workers; and
- a change in availability and proximity of equipment and materials.

All of these factors can result in inefficiencies on contract performance, which can impact the timing of revenue recognition and contract profitability. We plan our operations and bidding activity with these factors in mind and they have not had a material adverse impact on the results of our operations in the past.

Recent Developments and Outlook. During the third quarter we were successful on approximately \$100 million of bid opportunities, and our backlog has continued to recover from the levels experienced in 2011. Our tracking database remains strong for the foreseeable future, and we have not seen any further deterioration in bid margin levels or any increase in irrational bidding. While we do not expect to see a significant increase in bid opportunities, we continue to monitor the affects of the two year, \$105 billion Transportation Bill that could be a factor in easing pricing pressure from non-traditional competitors.

However, current and future lettings from the US Army Corps of Engineers remain inconsistent and uncertain, which continues to put pressure on the utilization of our dredging assets. These affects have been partially offset by an increase in private sector dredging opportunities in the near term.

The Company remains focused on returning to profitability as quickly as possible through cost containment programs. Effective pricing has enabled us to rebuild backlog and revenue.

In the long-term, we see positive trends in demands for our services in our end markets, including:

- General demand to repair and improve degrading US marine infrastructure;
- Language in the Transportation Bill urging action for resolution of the discrepancy between Harbor Maintenance tax collections and expenditures;
- Renewed focus on coastal rehabilitation along the Gulf Coast
- Expected increases in cargo volume and future demands from larger ships transiting the Panama Canal will require ports along the Gulf Coast and Atlantic Seaboard to perform additional dredging services and expand port infrastructure; and
- Improving economic conditions and increased activity in the petrochemical industry will necessitate capital expenditures , including larger projects, which had been postponed, as well as maintenance call-out work.

Consolidated Results of Operations

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared with three months ended September 30, 2011

	Three months ended September 30,			
	2012		2011	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
	(dollar amounts in thousands)			
Contract revenues	\$ 75,386	100.0 %	\$ 54,583	100.0 %
Cost of contract revenues	70,493	93.5	57,014	104.5
Gross profit (loss)	4,893	6.5	(2,431)	(4.5)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	7,185	9.5	6,631	12.1
Operating (loss) income	(2,292)	(3.0)	(9,062)	(16.6)
Other income (expense)				
Other income	44	0.1	44	0.1
Interest income	4	—	5	—
Interest (expense)	(235)	(0.3)	(95)	(0.2)
Other expense, net	(187)	(0.2)	(46)	(0.1)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(2,479)	(3.2)	(9,108)	(16.7)
Income tax (benefit) expense	(885)	(1.2)	(2,890)	(5.3)
Net (loss) income	\$ (1,594)	(2.0)%	\$ (6,218)	(11.4)%

Contract Revenues. Revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012 increased approximately 38.1% as compared with the same period last year. The increase was due principally to changes in the size, composition and schedules of the mix of jobs in each period. In the current year period, we commenced and continued work on several large projects for private sector customers. This is reflected primarily in the shift of revenue generated from contracts with public agencies, including the US Army Corps of Engineers, which represented 48% of third quarter revenues, as compared with 85% in the prior year period. The percent of revenue generated from customers in the private sector represented 52% of total revenues, as compared with 15% last year. The Company views these revenue shifts as normal in the marine construction business.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for the third quarter was \$4.9 million. Gross margin in the third quarter was 6.5% as compared to a negative 4.5% in the comparable prior year period. The variance between the periods is related to better utilization of construction equipment; our decision to adjust bid margins to build backlog; and to the mix and scope of contracts in the current year, as compared with last year. As measured by cost, our self-performance rate was 84% in the third quarter of 2012, as compared with 81% in the prior year period.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense. As compared with the third quarter of 2011, selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses increased by \$0.5 million, primarily related to an increase in our estimates for property taxes.

Income Tax (Benefit) Expense. Our effective rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was 35.7% and in the three months ended September 30, 2011 was 31.7%. The rates in the current year differed from the statutory rate of 35% due primarily increases in our net operating loss carryforwards, offset by the non-deductibility of certain permanent tax items, such as incentive compensation expense and to adjustments related to the reconciliation of our 2011 tax return. In the prior year period, the variance from the statutory rate was related to adjustments to reconcile to the 2010 tax return.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with nine months ended September 30, 2011

	Nine months ended September 30,			
	2012		2011	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
	(dollar amounts in thousands)			
Contract revenues	\$ 193,408	100.0 %	\$ 204,539	100.0 %
Cost of contract revenues	191,560	99.0	194,699	95.2
Gross profit (loss)	1,848	1.0	9,840	4.8
Selling, general and administrative expenses	21,754	11.2	21,643	10.6
Operating (loss) income	(19,906)	(10.2)	(11,803)	(5.8)
Other income (expense)				
Other income	228	0.1	44	—
Interest income	23	—	22	—
Interest (expense)	(638)	(0.3)	(263)	(0.1)
Other expense, net	(387)	(0.2)	(197)	(0.1)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(20,293)	(10.4)	(12,000)	(5.9)
Income tax (benefit) expense	(6,941)	(3.6)	(4,104)	(2.0)
Net (loss) income	\$ (13,352)	(6.8)%	\$ (7,896)	(3.9)%

Contract Revenues. Revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased approximately 5.4% as compared with the comparable period last year, and was substantially related to the slowdown in lettings on Federal projects, particularly those projects involving dredging services, and to gaps between project end dates and the remobilization of equipment to new jobs, particularly in the first quarter of 2012. Contract revenue generated from public agencies represented 53% of total revenue in the nine month period, as compared with 82% in the nine month period last year. Revenue generated from private customers was 47% and 18% in the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Gross Profit. Gross profit was \$1.8 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of \$7.9 million compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2011 primarily related to increased costs resulting from underutilized dredging equipment and to labor expense for crews idled between projects in the first half of the year. Gross margin in the first nine months of 2012 was 1.0% as compared to 4.8% in the prior year period, primarily related to the mix of jobs in progress between periods. As measured by cost, our self-performance rate was 84% in the first nine months of 2012, as compared with 85% in the prior year period.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense. Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses were \$21.8 million, an increase of \$0.1 million, or 0.5%, as compared with the prior year period, primarily related to increases in our estimates for property taxes.

Income Tax (Benefit) Expense. Our effective rate for the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 was 34.2%. In the current year, these rates differed from the statutory rate of 35% due to the increase in our net operating loss carryforwards.

Backlog Information

Our contract backlog represents our estimate of the revenues we expect to realize under the portion of the contracts remaining to be performed. Given the typical duration of our contracts, which ranges from three to nine months, our backlog at any point in time usually represents only a portion of the revenue that we expect to realize during a twelve month period. In addition, many projects that make up our backlog may be canceled at any time without penalty; however, we can generally recover actual committed costs and profit on work performed up to the date of cancellation. Although we have not been materially adversely affected by contract cancellations or modifications in the past, the possibility exists that we may be in the future, especially in economically uncertain periods. Consequently, backlog is not necessarily indicative of future results. In addition to our backlog under contract, we also have a substantial number of projects in negotiation or pending award at any given time.

Backlog at September 30, 2012 was \$217.1 million, as compared with \$146.1 million at September 30, 2011, reflecting the rebuilding of backlog through our success in obtaining contract awards during 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary liquidity needs are to finance our working capital, invest in capital expenditures, and pursue strategic acquisitions. Historically, our source of liquidity has been cash provided by our operating activities and borrowings under our Credit Facility (as defined below).

Our working capital position fluctuates from period to period due to normal increases and decreases in operational activity. At September 30, 2012, our working capital was \$54.6 million, as compared with \$75.8 million at December 31, 2011. As of September 30, 2012, we had cash on hand of \$50.2 million. Availability under our revolving credit facility was subject to a borrowing base.

We expect to meet our future internal liquidity and working capital needs, and maintain our equipment fleet through capital expenditure purchases and major repairs, from funds generated in our operating activities for at least the next 12 months. We believe our cash position is adequate for our general business requirements.

The following table provides information regarding our cash flows and capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (unaudited):

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 22,556	\$ 26,719
Cash flows used in investing activities	\$ (21,995)	\$ (11,887)
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 10,673	\$ (2,818)

Operating Activities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, our operations provided approximately \$22.6 million in net cash outflows, as compared with cash provided by operations in the prior year period of 26.7 million. The decrease in cash inflows from operations of \$4.1 million between periods was related to:

- an increase in the net loss between periods of \$5.5 million;
- an increase in trade accounts receivable balances, related to the timing of billings to customers, as compared with net collections of receivables in the prior year period, which resulted in a net outflow between periods of \$35.5 million;
- net inflow of cash of \$2.2 million between periods related to billings to and payments from customers for costs that cannot be billed, or receipt of payments for items such as mobilization at project commencement;
- receipt of \$14.1 million in cash related to the carryback of current operating losses to prior year tax returns
- changes in other working capital components, including trade payables, which are related to the composition of projects in process, and which resulted in a net inflow of cash between the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 of \$26.8 million
- changes in non-cash components of approximately \$8.6 million, primarily related to changes in our net deferred tax liability, resulting from an increase in our net operating loss carryforwards in the current year.

Changes in working capital are normal within our business and are not necessarily indicative of any fundamental change within working capital components or trend in the underlying business.

Investing Activities. Capital asset additions and betterments were \$8.6 million, a decrease of \$4.0 million as compared with \$12.7 million in the prior year period. Additionally, in the current year, we purchased approximately 18 acres of land and buildings in Tampa, Florida, for a price of approximately \$13.7 million.

Financing Activities. We funded the purchase of land and buildings in Tampa, Florida, from our Credit Facility in the amount of \$13.0 million, and we received proceeds from stock option exercises of \$175,000 in the current year period. In September 2012, we repaid the total amount drawn on the revolving portion of our Credit Facility of \$2.1 million, and we made the first installment payment in the amount of \$389,000 on the term loan component. In 2011, we repurchased approximately 300,000 shares of stock into treasury for approximately \$3.0 million. The share buyback program expired in May, 2012. No shares were repurchased in 2012.

Sources of Capital

In June 2010, the Company entered into a credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as sole lead arranger and bookrunner, and other lenders, from time to time. The Credit Agreement was amended in June 2012 in response to the Company's near term outlook, at which time Wells Fargo became the sole lender.

The amended Credit Agreement provides for borrowings under a revolving line of credit and swingline loans, an accordion, and a term loan (together, the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility is guaranteed by the subsidiaries of the Company, secured by the assets of the Company, including stock held in its subsidiaries, and may be used to finance working capital, repay indebtedness, fund acquisitions, and for other general corporate purposes. Interest is computed based on the designation of the loans, and bear interest at either a prime-based interest rate or a LIBOR-based interest rate. Principal balances drawn under the Credit Facility may be prepaid at any time, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty. Amounts repaid under the revolving line of credit may be re-borrowed. All of the components of the Credit Facility mature on June 30, 2013.

Reconciliation of amounts drawn in January 2012

As part of the amended Credit Agreement entered into in June 2012, the \$13 million drawn in January 2012 to purchase the property in Tampa, Florida was converted into a term loan in the amount of \$10.9 million, with the balance of \$2.1 million remaining under the revolving line of credit. Additionally, the \$13.9 million in restricted cash on the March 31, 2012, balance sheet was released.

Provisions of the revolving line of credit and accordion

As part of the amendment, the Company elected to reduce the maximum borrowing availability under the revolving line of credit and swingline loans (as defined in the Credit Agreement) from \$75 million to \$35 million, with a \$20 million sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit. An additional \$25 million is available subject to the Lender's discretion.

Revolving loans may be designated as prime rate based loans ("ABR Loans") or Eurodollar Loans, at the Company's request, and may be made in an integral multiple of \$500,000, in the case of an ABR Loan, or \$1 million in the case of a Eurodollar Loan. Swingline loans may only be designated as ABR Loans, and may be made in amounts equal to integral multiples of \$100,000. The Company may convert, change or modify such designations from time to time.

Borrowings are subject to a borrowing base, which is calculated as the sum of 80% of eligible accounts receivable, plus 90% of adjusted cash balances, as defined in the Credit Agreement.

At September 30, 2012, \$2.1 million drawn under the revolver in June had been repaid.

Provisions of the term loan

At September 30, 2012, the term loan component of the Credit Facility totaled \$10.5 million and is secured by specific dredge assets of the Company. Principal payments on the term loan, in the amount of \$389,000, are due quarterly and commenced on September 30, 2012.

Financial covenants

Restrictive financial covenants under the Credit Facility were modified in June 2012 to:

- Eliminate the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio;
- Eliminate the Leverage Ratio;
- Change the Net Worth covenant to a Tangible Net Worth covenant, with the minimum Tangible Net Worth requirement of a base amount of \$180 million as of the effective date of the amendment, plus 50% of consolidated quarterly net income (if positive), plus 75% of all issuances of equity interests by Borrower during that quarter;
- Add a Profitability Covenant such that the Company shall not sustain a consolidated net loss for two consecutive fiscal quarters, commencing with the quarter ending September 30, 2012.

In addition, the Credit Facility contains events of default that are usual and customary for similar transactions, including non-payment of principal, interest or fees; inaccuracy of representations and warranties; violation of covenants; bankruptcy and insolvency events; and events constituting a change of control.

The Company is subject to a commitment fee, at a current rate of 0.25% of the unused portion of the maximum available to borrow under the Credit Facility. The commitment fee is payable quarterly in arrears.

[Table of Contents](#)

Interest at September 30, 2012, was based on a LIBOR-option interest rate of 2.75%. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the weighted average interest rate was 2.75% and 2.28%, respectively. At September 30, 2012, the Company had letters of credit outstanding of approximately \$952,000.

At September 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with its financial covenants.

The Company expects to meet its future internal liquidity and working capital needs, and maintain its equipment fleet through capital expenditure purchases and major repairs, from funds generated by its operating activities for at least the next 12 months. We believe our cash position is adequate for our general business requirements.

Bonding Capacity

We are generally required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide additional security to our customers for our performance under certain government and private sector contracts. Our ability to obtain surety bonds depends on our capitalization, working capital, past performance and external factors, including the capacity of the overall surety market. At September 30, 2012, our capacity under our current bonding arrangement with Liberty Mutual was in excess of \$400 million, of which we had approximately \$120 million in surety bonds outstanding. During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, approximately 48% of projects, measured by revenue, required us to post a bond.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial position, results of operations or capital resources.

Inflation

Inflation historically has not had a material effect on our financial position or results of operations. Due to the short-term duration of our contracts, we are generally able to include anticipated price increases in the cost of our bids.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading, speculation or other purposes that would expose the Company to market risk. In the normal course of business, our results of operations are subject to risks related to fluctuation in commodity prices and fluctuations in interest rates.

Commodity price risk

We are subject to fluctuations in commodity prices for concrete, steel products and fuel. Although we attempt to secure firm quotes from our suppliers, we generally do not hedge against increases in prices for concrete, steel and fuel. Commodity price risks may have an impact on our results of operations due to the fixed-price nature of many of our contracts, although the short-term duration of our projects may allow us to include price increases in the costs of our bids.

Interest rate risk

At September 30, 2012, we had \$10.9 million in outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility, with a weighted average interest rate over the nine month period of 2.28%. Our objectives in managing interest rate risk are to lower our overall borrowing costs and limit interest rate changes on our earnings and cash flows. To achieve this, we closely monitor changes in interest rates and we utilize cash from operations to reduce our debt position, if warranted.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

- *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* As required, the Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, such officers have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective.
- *Changes in Internal Controls.* There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II – Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For information about litigation involving us, see Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I of this report, which we incorporate by reference into this Item 1 of Part II.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in our 2011 Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

There were no sales of equity securities in the period ended September 30, 2012.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Orion Marine Group, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Orion Marine Group, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
4.1	Registration Rights Agreement between Friedman, Billings, Ramsey & Co., Inc. and Orion Marine Group, Inc. dated May 17, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 20, 2007 (File No. 333-145588)).
10.1	Credit Agreement dated as of June 25, 2012 between Orion Marine Group, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent; Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as Sole Lead Arranger and Bookrunner
31.1*	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 20

[Table of Contents](#)

32.1*	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* filed herewith

+ management or compensatory arrangement

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ORION MARINE GROUP, INC.

November 1, 2012	By: /s/ J. Michael Pearson
	_____ J. Michael Pearson President and Chief Executive Officer

November 1, 2012	By: /s/ Mark R. Stauffer
	_____ Mark R. Stauffer Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a – 14(a)/15d – 14(a)
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, J. Michael Pearson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Orion Marine Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)), and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

November 1, 2012

By: /s/ J. Michael Pearson

J. Michael Pearson
President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a – 14(a)/15d – 14(a)
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Mark R. Stauffer, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Orion Marine Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)), and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

November 1, 2012

By: /s/ Mark R. Stauffer

Mark R. Stauffer
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATIONS
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Orion Marine Group, Inc. (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), we, J. Michael Pearson and Mark R. Stauffer, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, respectively, of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to our knowledge:

- 1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

November 1, 2012

By: /s/ J. Michael Pearson

J. Michael Pearson
President and Chief Executive Officer

November 1, 2012

By: /s/ Mark R. Stauffer

Mark R. Stauffer
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

